instructor ID:				insi	nuctor	Name:	Christo	pher Jo	hns						
Year: 2007 Term: FALL					ırse Nu	mber:	PHIL-2	85-03		Tiele	: Phil c	f Human	Nature		
Surveys Comp	ieted:	23		Stu	dents f	Enrolled	26	in de la companya de		Cross Li	sted Co	urses:	No	COCK THE STREET	CONTROL CONTROL
		Currer	nt Standing			1	Reason f	or Enro	lina		Еха	ected Gr	ade for the	Cour	rse
Freshmen		13%	Graduates:	0	0%		Requirer		5	22%	Ā.	8 35		0	0%
Sophomores		22%	Others:	2	9%	Minor	Requirer	nent:	0	0%	8.	13 57	% Pass:	1	4%
Juniors:		35%				Core	Requirer	nent:	13	57%	C:	1 4	% N/A:	0	0%
Seniors:	5	22%						tive:	3	13%	D:	0 0	%		
	-	Table or the consequence			Maradoning a mi		0	ther:	2	9%					a principal de la constantina de la co
							1	Average	•	Strongly		M:	Strongly	No	ot
								Score		Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Appli	cable
1. Provided cle	ar state	ment e	of course obje	ctive	S			3,57	N.	13	10) (, ,		0
									%:	56.5	43.5	5 0.0	0.0		0.0
0 0						4.									
2. Appeared kn	owread	aple a	pout the cours	ie sui	bject m	atter		3.65		15	5		_		0
									%:	65.2	34.8	0.0	0.0		0.0
3. Was well pre	rarad f	ne atac										. ,			_
a. eses seen bic	haten t	or clas						3.65		15	3.6				0
									%:	65.2	34.8	0.0	0.0	•	0.0
4. Allotted time	appron	riatoh	to present m	ateris	ı			3.48	Ri-	13	8	. 2	2 0		0
	ladar sala		bernonter tts	wrot id				3,40	%: %:	56.5	34.8		-		0.0
									/4"	30,5	J-7.0	. 5.1	5.0		5.0
5. Was open to	studen	ques	tions and ence	ourad	ed par	ticipatio	n	3.74	N.	17	. 6	; () 0		0
-		•		•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				%.	73.9	26.1				0.0
									•	• -					
6. Presented ma	aterial i	n an in	teresting and	chall	enging	manne	ſ	3,65	N:	15	8				0
									%:	65.2	34.8	0.0	0.0		0.0
7 0												_	_		_
7. Contributed t	o my u	nderst	anding of cou	rse m	aterial			3.61		_ 14	9				0
									%:	60.9	39.1	0.0	0,0		0.0
8. Was available	forse	cicton	na autoida af c	.Face				204	B.I.	4.4		. (0		. 4
or read transacti	101 23	3:3:40:16:	re antaine at r	cept				3.64	%:	60.9	34.8		-		1 4.3
			•						16-	00.5	34.0	0.0	, 0.0		4.0
9. Returned ass	ignmen	ts and	exams within	a rea	sonab	le time i	rame	3.61	N:	15	7	. 1	0		0
	_						. 4	0.0,	%:	65.2	30.4				0.0
10. Provided cle	ar state	ment	of the basis of	grad	ing			3.52	N:	13	9				0
									%:	56.5	39.1	4.3	0.0		0.0
64 B2-1-2-6	-3F =			_								_	_		
11. Provided fee	aback v	vnich	stimulated lea	ming	ļ			3.65		_15	8				0
•									%:	65.2	34.8	0.0	0.0		0.0
12. I would say t	his is a	n offer	tiva inefructa			•		3.65	R.t.	45	8	C) 0		Ö
imi i nomia ang t	10 10	it wites	MARCHITATION					3.00	%:	15 65.2	34.8				0.0
									70-	W.2	J4.U	0.0	0.0		0.0
13. Increased my	/ knowl	edge a	and expertise	n the	suble	ct matte	r	3.61	N:	14	.9	0	0		0
		•	•				•		%:	60.9	39.1				0.0
14. Challenged n	ne to w	ork to	my intellectua	l cap	acity			3.61		15	7				0
						P			%:	65.2	30.4	4.3	0.0		0.0
5 Inefrational	matari-	io	ra halmful tu				40	A			: خور	_			_
5. Instructional	materia	no wel	e ueibini iu w	eetin	g cour	se objec	tives	3.57		13	10	. 0			. 0
									%:	56.5	43.5	0.0	0.0		0.0
6. Met objective	s as de	scribo	d in syllahue					3.61	Ni-	14	. 9	. 0	. 0		0
			- HI ADIENCO						%:	60.9	39.1	0.0			0.0
						100			/0.	· · · · · ·	J31	0.0	0.0		J.J
7. I would say th	is is an	effec	tive course					3.65	N:	15	8	o	0		0
									%:	65.2	34.8	0.0			0.0
•			4.								J-1.0				3.0

Instructor ID: 0547780	Instructor Name:	Christopher Jo	hns					
Year: 2007 Term: FALL	Course Number:	PHIL-200-04		Tiele	: Ethics	\$		
Surveys Completed: 22	Students Enrolled:	26	Sec PARTERA	Cross Li	sted Cou	irses: N	lo	and the second s
Students Current Standing Freshmen: 0 0% Graduates: Sophomores: 15 68% Others: Juniors: 2 9% Seniors: 5 23%	0 0% Major F 0 0% Minor F	leason for Enro Requirement: Requirement: Requirement; Elective: Other:	Hing 2 0 20 0 0	9% 0% 91% 0%	Expe A: B: C: D:	6 27%	Pass: NA:	Course 0 09 0 09 2 99
Provided clear statement of course object	tivos	Average Score 3.36	1	Strongly Agree	Agree 12	nisagree ^j	Strongly Disagree A O	Not \pplicable
the formation of desired on the order	erves	3.30	%: %:		54.5		0.0	0.0
2. Appeared knowledgable about the course	subject matter	3.68	8 N: %:	15 68.2	7 31.8		0 0.0	0.0
3. Was well prepared for class		3.59	N: %:	13 59.1	9 40.9	0	0.0	0.0
4. Allotted time appropriately to present mat	terial	3.50		12 54.5	40.9	, 1	0.0)),),0
5. Was open to student questions and encor	uraged participation	3.73		16 72.7	6 27.3	0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0
6. Presented material in an interesting and c	halienging manner	3.09		8 36.4	9 40.9	4	1 4.5	0.0
7. Contributed to my understanding of cours	se material	3.38	N: %:	10 45.5	9 40.9		0.0	4.
8. Was available for assistance outside of cla	ass	3.50	N: %:	11 50.0	11 50.0	0.0	0.0)).0
3. Returned assignments and exams within a	ı reasonable time fı	rame 3.55	N: %:	12 54.5	10 45 .5		0.0)).0
0. Provided clear statement of the basis of o	grading	3.38	N: %:	11. 50.0	8 36.4	1 4.5	1 4.5	4.5
1. Provided feedback which stimulated learn	aing	3.36	N: %:	9 40.9	12 54.5	1 4.5	0.0	0.0
2. I would say this is an effective instructor		3.41	N: %:	10 45.5	11 50.0	1 4.5	0.0	0.0 0.0
3. Increased my knowledge and expertise in	the subject matter		N: %:	11 50.0	7 31.8	4 18.2	0.0	0.0 0.0
4. Challenged me to work to my intellectual	capacity	3.41	N: %:	11 50.0	9 40.9	2 9.1	0.0	0.0
5. Instructional materials were helpful in me	eting course object		N: %:	11 50,0	8 36.4	3 13.6	0.0	0.0
). Met objectives as described in syllabus		3.45	N: %:	12 54.5	8 36.4	2 9.1	0.0	0.0
. I would say this is an effective course		3.27	N: %:	10 45.5	9 40.9	2 9.1	1 4.5	0.0

Instructor ID: 0547780	instructor Name:	Christopher Jo	hns					
Year: 2007 Term: FALL	Course Number:	PHIL-200-03		Title	Ethics	5		
Surveys Completed: 14	Students Enrolled	: 28		Cross Lis	sted Co	arses:	No	
Students Current Standing Freshmen: 0 0% Graduates: Sophomores: 2 14% Others:	O O% Major	Reason for Enro Requirement: Requirement:	lling 8 0	57%	Exp A: B:	5 36%	de for the 6 F: 6 Pass:	Course 0 0% 0 0%
Juniors: 5 36% Seniors: 6 43%	Core	Requirement: Elective: Other:	2 3 1	14% 21% 7%	C: D:	2 149 0 09	6 N/A:	1 7%
1. Provided clear statement of course objec	Mives	Average Score 2.79		Strongly Agree 2	Agree 8	Disagree	Strongly Disagree 1	Not Applicable 0
-		2.10	%:	_	57.1	_	7.1	0.0
2. Appeared knowledgable about the course	e subject matter	3.64	N: %:		5 35.7		0.0	0.0
3. Was well prepared for class		2.71	N: %:	1 7.1	9 64.3	_	1 7.1	0.0
i. Allotted time appropriately to present ma	teria l	2.14	N: %:	0 0.0	4 28.6	_	2 14.3	0.0
. Was open to student questions and enco	uraged participation	n 2.86	N: %:	2 14.3	9 64.3	2 14.3	1 7.1	0.0
. Presented material in an interesting and o	challenging manner	2.93	N: %:	4 28.6	6 42.9	3 21.4	1 7.1	0.0
. Contributed to my understanding of cours	se material	3.07	N: %:	4 28.6	7 50.0	3 21.4	0.0	0.0
. Was available for assistance outside of ci	ass	3.23	N: %:	4 28.6	8 57.1	1 7.1	0.0	1 7.1
Returned assignments and exams within a	a reasonable time f	rame 1.86	N: %:	0.0	3 21.4	6 42.9	5 35.7	0 0.0
. Provided clear statement of the basis of g	grading	2.00	N: %:	0.0	5 35.7	4 28.6	5 35.7	0.0
, Provided feedback which stimulated lean	ning	2.36	N: %:	1 7.1	6 4 2.9	4 28.6	3 21.4	0.0
. I would say this is an effective instructor		2.31	N: %:	1 7.1	5 35.7	4 28.6	3 21.4	1 7.1
increased my knowledge and expertise in	the subject matter		N; %:	5 35.7	8 57.1	1 7.1	0.0	0.0
Challenged me to work to my intellectual	capacity	3.14	N. %:	4 28.6	8 57.1	2 14.3	0.0	0.0
Instructional materials were helpful in med	eting course object		N: %:	3 21.4	8 57.1	3 21.4	0.0	0.0
Met objectives as described in syllabus		2.64	N: %:	2 14.3	7 50.0	3 21.4	2 14.3	0 0.0
I would say this is an effective course		2.86 l		2 14.3	8 57.1	4 28.6	0.0	0.0

Instructor ID: 0547780		Instructor I	Vame:	Christoph	er Jo	ohns	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	- AND THE WASHINGTON		AND ADDRESS OF A SEC.	engelynn-engag	ADMINISTRAÇÃO
	E FALL	Course Nu	nber:	PHIL-150	-24		Tëtic	: The E	xamined L	ife		
Surveys Completed: 1	7	Students E	nrolled:	19			Cross Lis	sted Cou	ırses: N	o		
Freshmen: 15 8 Sophomores: 0 Juniors: 2 1	rrent Standing 18% Graduates: 0% Others: 2% 0%	0 0% 0 0%	Major i Minor i	deason for lacestage of the contract of the co	it: it: it: e:	Ming 1 1 14 1 0	6% 6% 82% 6% 0%	Expe A: B: C: D:		F: Pass: N/A:	Cour 0 0 0	se 0% 0% 0%
1. Provided clear statem	ent of course objec	:tives		Ave	erage core	Ġ (NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.	Agree 12 70.6	nizagree [Strongly Disagree A O O,O	No Nilqq/	t able 0.0
2. Appeared knowledgab	le about the cours	e subject ma	itter		3.41	70. 1 N: %:	7 41.2	10.0 58.8	. 0	0.0		0.0
3. Was well prepared for	class				3.24	1 N: %:	5 29.4	11 64,7	1 5.9	0.0		0.0
4. Allotted time appropria	itely to present ma	terial		,	3,12	≥ N: %:	4 23.5	11 64.7	2 11.8	0.0		0.0
5. Was open to student q					3.35	5 N: %:	6 35.3	11 64.7	0.0	0.0	•	0.0
6. Presented material in a			manner		3.18	8 N: %:	4 23.5	12 70.6	1 5.9	0.0		0.0
7. Contributed to my und					3.24	N: %:	4 23.5	13 76.5	0.0	0.0		0.0
3. Was available for assis	·				3.24	%:	4 23.5	13 76.5	0.0	0.0		0.0
Returned assignments Returned assignments			time fr		3.12	%:	3 17,6	13 76.5	1 5.9	0.0		0.0
Provided clear stateme Provided feedback whi					3.35	%:	6 35.3	11 64.7	0.0	0.0		0.0
2. I would say this is an e		ning			3.29	%:	5 29.4 -	12 70.6	0.0	0.0		0.0
3. Increased my knowled		tion mestica-4	mante	* .	3.41	%:	7 41.2	10 58.8	0.0	0.0		0.0
Challenged me to work			matter			%:	5 29.4	11 64.7	1 5.9	0.0		0.0
i. Instructional materials		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	abirat	:		%:	23.5	13 76.5	0.0	0.0		0.0
. Met objectives as desci		eting course	e objecti			%:	29.4	11 64.7	1 5.9	0.0		0.0
. I would say this is an ef						%:	23.5	13 76.5	0.0	0.0		0.0
	ientiae Priffipe	. * *		3.	.24	N: %:	23.5	13 76.5	0.0	0.0		0.0

Saint Xavier University Course Evaluation Summary - Instructor

	topher Joh	ns						
Year: 2007 Term: FALL			_					
Surveys Completed: 76 Students Enrolled: 99	TO A TO SERVICE OF THE SERVICE OF TH	anner sente	Respons	Comments of the State of the St	decoloration of the Contraction	Mariana - Maria		nest recommend
	for Enroll					ade for th		
	ment:	16	21%	A:	28 37		0	09
Sophomores: 22 29% Others: 3 4% Minor Require Juniors: 17 22% Core Require		1	1%	B: C:		3% Pass: 1% N/A:	1	19 49
	ective:	49 7	64% 9%	D:		176 1827. 196	3	47
	Other:	3	4%	D.	0 0	770		
	Average		Strongly			Strongly	N	ot
	Score	,	Agree	Agree	Disagre	Strongly Disagree	Appli	cable
1. Provided clear statement of course objectives	3.30	N:	29	42		4	•	į
		%:	38.2	55.3	5.3	3 1.3	3	0.0
2. Appeared knowledgable about the course subject matter	3.61	N5×	46	30		p (1	(
blania manier drant the contact and collect thatet		%:	60.5	39.5		_	_	0.0
		/4.	00.0	00.0		.		J.,
3. Was well prepared for class	3.37	N:	34	37	' ·	4 1		(
	•	%:	44.7	48.7	5.3	3 1.3	3	0.0
I. Allotted time appropriately to present material	~ 4^	81-		32	1:	3 2	•	C
wante a mune appropriatery to breastit Harsist	3.16	w: %:	29 38.2	32 42.1				0.0
		70.	30.2	42.1	.,.	2	•	0.0
i. Was open to student questions and encouraged participation	3.49	N:	41	32	: :	2	1	(
	•	%:	53.9	42.1	2.0	6 1.3	3	0.0
Descripted vertaging in an inter-still and total							. "	
i. Presented material in an interesting and challenging manner	3.25		31	35		B 3		(
	,	%:	40.8	46.1	10.	5 2.6		0.0
. Contributed to my understanding of course material	3.36	N:	32	38		5 ()	1
		%:	42.1	50.0		-		1.3
. Was available for assistance outside of class	3.43		33	_40				2
	•	%:	43.4	52.6	1.3	3 0.0	,	2.6
. Returned assignments and exams within a reasonable time frame	3.16	RI-	30	33	,	3 5	5	C
A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE		%:	39.5	43.4		-		0.0
				A,				
0. Provided clear statement of the basis of grading	3.16		30	33		3 6		. 1
	9	% :	39.5	43.4	7.9	7.9)	1.3
Provided feedback which stimulated learning	3.25	RF-	30	38	٠.	5 3	a	C
The second second second second		%:	39.5	- 50.0				0.0
	,	/ U 8	05.0	00.0	V. .	, J.,		0.0
2. I would say this is an effective instructor	3.29	N:	33	34	ŧ	5 . 3		. 1
	9	% :	43.4	44.7	6.6	3.9	•	1.3
3. Increased my knowledge and expertise in the subject matter		h.f.	-	-		. ,		
moresses my momende sun exhernse in me andect uisitet	3.38		35 46.1	35 46 1	7.9			0
	7	/o:	46.1	46.1	7.3	9 0.0	•	0.0
. Challenged me to work to my intellectual capacity	3.38	N:	34	37		5. ()	0
		6:	44.7	48.7				0.0
Inchworkianal materials was builded as								
. Instructional materials were helpful in meeting course objectives	3.33 /		32	37	7	7 (0
	9/	6.	42.1	, 48.7	9.2	2 0.0	1.	0.0
								_
Met objectives as described in syllabus	330 8	V:	32	37	F	5 2	?	n n
. Met objectives as described in syllabus	3.30 M		32 42.1	37 48.7	5.6 6.6	5 26	}	0.0
	3.30 M		32 42.1	37 48.7	6.6			0.0
. Met objectives as described in syllabus . I would say this is an effective course		ó:			5 6.6 7.9	; 2.6 ; 1		

Saint Xavier University Course Evaluation Summary - Department

School:	A&S			De	partme	nt:	PHIL		,						
Year: 2007		************************	FALL												
Surveys Con	COSC CONTRACTOR	ACCOUNTAGE OF THE PERSON NAMED OF THE PERSON N		st.	idents i	Enrolled:	and principles of the same and an extension of the same and the same a	777E-110-116	Respons	PARTICIONE DISCUSSION OF THE PARTICIPANT	sufternational desiration to a	- Selden selenjernia		-	
Freshme			ent Standing 6 Graduates:	4	7307		leason for Er		-		ected G				
Sophomore					0% 3%		lequirement: lequirement:			A: B:		4% 3% }	F: Paggr	0 6	0% 1%
Junior				11	370		tequirement:			C:			NA:	14	2%
Senior						4-0101	Elective:			Ď:		1%		• •	
		··			demonstration of the same of		Other:						Market States of States	***************************************	10 strong one
							Avera	ige	Strongly		Nicosu	St	rongly	No	oŧ
4							Sco	re	Agree	Agree	Disagr	Dis	sagree/	Applie	cable
1. Provided c	lear sta	temen	t of course ob	ective	25		3	.56 [22	4 `	13	1		- 3
								%	58.4	38.0	6 2	2.2	0.2		0.5
2. Appeared is	nowled	lgable	about the cou	rse st	biect n	atter	3	72 N	i: 422	154	4	3	0		1
							_	%				.5	0.0		0.2
A 100													_		
3. Was well p	repared	for cla	ess				3	.58 N		215		11	3		0
								%	: 60.5	37.	1 7	.9	0.5		0.0
4. Allotted tim	ie appr	priate	ly to present r	nateri	ai		. 3	57 N	: 361	186	3 2	29	2		2
	• •	•						%			_	i.O	0.3		0.3
													_		
5. Was open t	o stude	nt que	stions and en	coura	ged par	ticlpatio	1 3	68 N				8	2		3
								%	: 70.0	27.8	8 1	.4	0.3		0.5
6. Presented r	nateria	in an	interesting an	d chal	lengine	manner	. 9	47 N	: 317	219	a <u>:</u>	36	6		2
				- 41191		, setometra-t	9.	~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				5.2	1.0		0.3
										-	_				
7. Contributed	i to my	unders	standing of co	urse r	naterial		3.	57 N				20	2		4
								%	: 60.3	35.	2 3	3.4	0.3		0.7
8. Was availab	ele for a	ecicta	nca outeida oi	cisce			•	57 N	: 319	216	2	9	0		36
ov preso principal			ice outside of	Cidaa	,		J.	or⊪ %				.6	0.0		6.2
•								,,		07	- '				0.2
8. Returned as	signme	ents an	d exams with	in a re	asonab	le time f	rame 3.	48 N		202		37	9		2
								%	56.9	34.8	3 6	.4	1.6		0.3
i0. Provided c	laar eta	tomon	t of the bacic.	AF ~ = A	dima		9	ea Ri	: 336	204		26	8		6
ion i iovidea o	real ato		t of the pasis	or Ard	កមេដិ		3.	51 N %		35.2	-	.5	1:4		1.0
								,4	. 0,.0		-		•••		
1. Provided fe	edbaci	(which	ı stimulated le	amin	g		3.	54 N	346	203	3 2	21	6	٠.	4
					•			%	59.7	35.0	3	.6	1.0		0.7
2. I would say	fhic ic	an off	antiun imetruat				3.	20 81	. 270	404		13	3		5
·	111010		souve manuci	ue.			3.0	62 № %		181 31.2		.2	0.5		0.9
								74	. 00.2	U1.2			0.0		
3. Increased n	ny kno	viedge	and expertise	in th	e subje	ct matte	3.	51 N	326	222	2 2	27	2		3
								%:	56.2	38.3	3 4	.7	0.3		0.5
4. Challenged	ma ta :	unels to	n musimballa afe					-0.51							_
4. Onancnycu	Hie to	WOLK EC	my mienecu	iai ca	Dacity		3 .	53 N		201 34.7		35 .0	1 0.2		0.3
								%:	58.8	34.7	. 0		U.Z		U. J
5. Instructiona	ıl mateı	ials w	ere helpful in I	meetir	ig cour	se objec	tives 3.4	19 N:	318	225	5 3	32	1.		4
				•	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 77	%:		38.8		.5	0.2		0.7
& tilat ahi#-		la ma -===	and the second										_		
6. Met objectiv	res as c	escrib	ed in syllabus	•	•		3.5	7 N:		215		3	3		3
								%.	59.7	37.1	. 2	.2	0.5		0.5
7. I would say	this is	an effe	ctive course				3.5	3 N:	337	206	, 2	24	6		. 7
								~ %: %:		35.5		1	1,0		1.2
•								,,,,			•				