

CJ08 Logout

Courses List > ICE Report

OFFICE OF INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH AND ASSESSMENT

INSTRUCTOR COURSE EVALUATION

201420-PHIL-211-3-L-Christopher Low Johns

Arts & Sciences INSTRUCTOR: Christopher Low Johns ACADEMIC TERM Spring 2013-14 OVERALL SCORE 4.1
 COURSE PHIL 211 SECTION 3 CATEGORY Humanities ENROLLMENT 25 Response Rate 56%

-----Percentiles-----

#RESPONSES SA A U D SD AVG CATEGORY FACULTY UNIVERSITY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

1	The instructor was prepared for the class	10	1	5	2	2	0	3.5	12	14	15
2	The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject	10	1	5	2	1	1	3.4	4	8	9
3	The instructor was accessible for feedback	10	7	3	0	0	0	4.7	87	90	90
4	The instructor communicated his/her subject well	10	0	7	1	2	0	3.5	13	18	20
5	The instructor stimulated and maintained my interest in the course	10	2	8	0	0	0	4.2	57	66	66
6	The instructor evaluated work using clear grading criteria	10	5	5	0	0	0	4.5	87	88	87
7	The instructor promoted and encouraged analytical / critical thinking	10	3	5	2	0	0	4.1	50	58	58
8	Overall rating of the instructor's teaching effectiveness	10	2	4	4	0	0	3.8	26	33	34

....SECTION STATISTICS: MINIMUM 3.4.....MAXIMUM 4.7....

COURSE EVALUATION

9	Course objectives were clear	9	2	7	0	0	0	4.2	64	66	67
10	Course content covered stated objectives.	9	2	6	1	0	0	4.1	52	56	57
11	Course was appropriately organized and paced.	10	4	3	3	0	0	4.1	58	60	62
12	Resources available were adequate (handouts, textbooks, readings, demonstrations,etc.)	10	6	3	1	0	0	4.5	82	87	87
13	Assessment was appropriate to course content & learning outcomes	10	5	4	1	0	0	4.4	79	84	84
14	Rate the overall quality of the course	10	3	6	1	0	0	4.2	62	68	69

....SECTION STATISTICS: MINIMUM 4.1.....MAXIMUM 4.5....

LEARNING OUTCOMES

15	This course increased my interest in the subject.	10	5	2	2	0	1	4	55	63	59
16	In this course I have learned something that I consider valuable	10	2	5	3	0	0	3.9	39	46	42
17	I would recommend this course to other students	9	3	3	2	1	0	3.9	43	52	48

....SECTION STATISTICS: MINIMUM 3.9.....MAXIMUM 4....

ADDITIONAL ITEMS

18	The instructor kept the balance between discussion and lecture well suited to this type of course.	8	1	7	0	0	0	4.1	50		
19	Class discussion contributed positively to learning in this course.	8	2	5	1	0	0	4.1	52		
20	The grading system was clearly explained to students.	9	4	4	1	0	0	4.3	77		
21	The examination content is representative of course content and objectives.	9	3	6	0	0	0	4.3	69		
22	Instructor was concerned about students' progress in the course.	9	4	3	2	0	0	4.2	60		
23	Instructor related various topics of the course to each other.	9	3	4	2	0	0	4.1	45		
24	The course helped to improve my critical thinking skills.	9	3	4	2	0	0	4.1	57		

....SECTION STATISTICS: MINIMUM 4.1.....MAXIMUM 4.3....

.....POOL SIZE.....

335 1123 2049

201420-PHIL-211-3-L-Christopher Low Johns

25 Identify Instructor's strengths.

- Charismatic
engaging
Hardworking
Well prepared
- Friendly, and SOOO dedicated.
- He is very involved and hard-working.
- He was active, lively, and showed interest in teaching the course
- The teacher is lively when it comes to teaching the calss. He conveys a good culture in class rather than a traditional information feeding one. The use of power point slides came to a great advantage
- Writing about the subject particularly and explaining in writing. I found it more effective than oral explanation because it was very slow paced when it was in class

26 Identify Instructor's weaknesses.

-
- A bit lost when it comes to the course
- He sometimes got confused, but that's normal, because the material is so tricky...he always fixed it eventually
- I had difficulty understanding some aspects in the course, and asking him to repeat the explanation didn't really help
- In certain instances, too much time was spent explaining what we'll do before we actually start.
- None.

27 Identify course's strengths and weaknesses.

- Interactive
- The combination of the formal "Logic book" with "Logicomix" is very complementary.
- The course is a good elective however its not really logic when it comes to derviations, but it is logic when we were reading logicomics
- The course itself is interesting. It teaches to think critically, and logically.
- very very stimulating, and interesting... until it gets systematic. but other than that, it's very enriching ... you learn about a whole new world

28 Please provide specific suggestions for improving the course.

-
- having a solving session would really be beneficial... a lecture class and 1 solving session a week