

CJ08 Logout

Courses List > ICE Report

OFFICE OF INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH AND ASSESSMENT**INSTRUCTOR COURSE EVALUATION****201420-PHIL-225-1-L-Christopher Low Johns**

Arts & Sciences **INSTRUCTOR: Christopher Low Johns** **ACADEMIC TERM Spring 2013-14** **OVERALL SCORE 4.2**
COURSE PHIL 225 **SECTION 1** **CATEGORY Humanities** **ENROLLMENT 12** **Response Rate 67%**

-----Percentiles-----

#RESPONSES SA A U D SD AVG CATEGORY FACULTY UNIVERSITY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION											
1	The instructor was prepared for the class	8	4	2	1	1	0	4.1	38	44	47
2	The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject	8	3	1	3	1	0	3.8	13	20	21
3	The instructor was accessible for feedback	8	5	2	1	0	0	4.5	74	79	80
4	The instructor communicated his/her subject well	8	3	3	1	0	1	3.9	28	34	37
5	The instructor stimulated and maintained my interest in the course	8	4	3	1	0	0	4.4	76	81	80
6	The instructor evaluated work using clear grading criteria	8	5	2	1	0	0	4.5	87	88	87
7	The instructor promoted and encouraged analytical / critical thinking	8	5	2	1	0	0	4.5	82	86	85
8	Overall rating of the instructor's teaching effectiveness	7	4	2	1	0	0	4.4	68	74	75
....SECTION STATISTICS: MINIMUM 3.8.....MAXIMUM 4.5....								4.3	60	67	68
COURSE EVALUATION											
9	Course objectives were clear	8	4	3	1	0	0	4.4	79	81	82
10	Course content covered stated objectives.	7	3	1	2	1	0	3.9	34	38	39
11	Course was appropriately organized and paced.	8	3	3	1	1	0	4	48	52	56
12	Resources available were adequate (handouts, textbooks, readings, demonstrations,etc.)	8	6	2	0	0	0	4.8	93	96	96
13	Assessment was appropriate to course content & learning outcomes	8	5	0	2	0	1	4	47	54	56
14	Rate the overall quality of the course	8	5	1	2	0	0	4.4	79	82	83
....SECTION STATISTICS: MINIMUM 3.9.....MAXIMUM 4.8....								4.3	73	76	77
LEARNING OUTCOMES											
15	This course increased my interest in the subject.	8	3	4	1	0	0	4.3	75	80	77
16	In this course I have learned something that I consider valuable	8	5	2	1	0	0	4.5	82	87	83
17	I would recommend this course to other students	8	4	2	1	1	0	4.1	58	67	63
....SECTION STATISTICS: MINIMUM 4.1.....MAXIMUM 4.5....								4.3	72	79	75
ADDITIONAL ITEMS											
18	The instructor kept the balance between discussion and lecture well suited to this type of course.	8	4	1	1	2	0	3.9	33		
19	Class discussion contributed positively to learning in this course.	8	4	1	2	1	0	4	43		
20	The grading system was clearly explained to students.	8	5	2	1	0	0	4.5	88		
21	The examination content is representative of course content and objectives.	8	3	2	2	1	0	3.9	36		
22	Instructor was concerned about students' progress in the course.	8	4	3	0	1	0	4.3	65		
23	Instructor related various topics of the course to each other.	8	6	0	1	1	0	4.4	68		
24	The course helped to improve my critical thinking skills.	8	3	2	2	1	0	3.9	39		
....SECTION STATISTICS: MINIMUM 3.9.....MAXIMUM 4.5....								4.1	54		
.....POOL SIZE.....									335	1123	2049

201420-PHIL-225-1-L-Christopher Low Johns

25 Identify Instructor's strengths.

- Is excellent at engaging class discussion and increasing interest in course content. Allows adequate room for debate and discussion which are central to learning difficult concepts such as in this course.
- Very pleasant. Funny. Professional. Explains very clearly. Engaging.

26 Identify Instructor's weaknesses.

- We sometimes get into discussion and lose the topic we are talking about

27 Identify course's strengths and weaknesses.

- Very stimulating course.
- WE had 4 heavy books to read throughout the semester.however these books were very helpful to inquire into the subject of the course