



Individual Instructor Course Evaluation (ICE) Fall 2016-17 Report for PHIL226 11523 1 (Christopher Low Johns)

Instructor Course Evaluation (ICE) Fall 2016-17

Project Audience 6
Responses Received 5
Response Ratio 83%

Subject Details

| | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Name | PHIL226 |
| COURSE_SUBJECT | Philosophy |
| COURSE_SECTION | 1 |
| CRN | 11523 |
| FACULTY | Arts & Sciences |
| First Name | Christopher Low |
| Last Name | Johns |

Creation Date Mon, Dec 19, 2016




Demographics

| Question | Required from major | Elective from major | Elective outside major | Required outside major | University required |
|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. The course is | 67% | 33% | 0% | 0% | 0% |


| Question | >=90 | 85-89 | 80-84 | 70-79 | <70 |
|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| 2. Expected grade in course | 0% | 0% | 100% | 0% | 0% |

| Question | <=3 | 4-6 | 7-10 | >10 |
|--|-----|-----|------|-----|
| 3. No. of hours worked for the course per week | 33% | 33% | 33% | 0% |

Break down by Class



This course is:




Section A - Score Report

| | Resp | (SA) | (A) | (N) | (D) | (SD) | Mean |
|--|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| A.1. The instructor was prepared for the class | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| A.2. The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.7 |
| A.3. The instructor was accessible for feedback | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| A.4. The instructor communicated his/her subject well | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| A.5. The instructor stimulated and maintained my interest in the course | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| A.6. The instructor evaluated work using clear grading criteria | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.7 |
| A.7. The instructor promoted and encouraged analytical / critical thinking | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| A.8. Overall rating of the instructor's teaching effectiveness | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |

| Competency | Course |
|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Section A - Evaluate your Instructor | 4.9 |

Section A - Scale Distribution



Section A - Evaluate your Instructor

| Question | Course | Faculty (Arts & Sciences) | University | Category (OAHM) |
|--|--------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| A.1. The instructor was prepared for the class | 5.0 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.4 |
| A.2. The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| A.3. The instructor was accessible for feedback | 5.0 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| A.4. The instructor communicated his/her subject well | 5.0 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| A.5. The instructor stimulated and maintained my interest in the course | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.1 |
| A.6. The instructor evaluated work using clear grading criteria | 4.7 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| A.7. The instructor promoted and encouraged analytical / critical thinking | 5.0 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |

Course Evaluation (ICE) Fall 2016-17


| | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| A.8. Overall rating of the instructor's teaching effectiveness | 5.0 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| Overall | 4.9 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.3 |

Section B - Score Report

| | Resp | (SA) | (A) | (N) | (D) | (SD) | Mean |
|---|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| B.1. Course objectives were clear | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| B.2. Course content covered stated objectives | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| B.3. Course was appropriately organized and paced | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| B.4. Resources available were adequate (handouts, textbooks, readings, demonstrations,etc.) | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| B.5. Assessment was appropriate to course content & learning outcomes | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| B.6. Rate the overall quality of the course | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |

| Competency | Course |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Section B - Evaluate your course | 5.0 |

Section B - Scale Distribution



Section B - Evaluate your Course


| Question | Course | Faculty (Arts & Sciences) | University | Category (OAHM) |
|---|--------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| B.1. Course objectives were clear | 5.0 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| B.2. Course content covered stated objectives | 5.0 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| B.3. Course was appropriately organized and paced | 5.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| B.4. Resources available were adequate (handouts, textbooks, readings, demonstrations,etc.) | 5.0 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.2 |
| B.5. Assessment was appropriate to course content & learning outcomes | 5.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 |
| B.6. Rate the overall quality of the course | 5.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 |
| Overall | 5.0 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.2 |

Section C - Score Report

| | Resp | (SA) | (A) | (N) | (D) | (SD) | Mean |
|---|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| C.1. This course increased my interest in the subject | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| C.2. In this course I have learned something that I consider valuable | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| C.3. I would recommend this course to other students | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |

| Competency | Course |
|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Section C - Course learning outcomes | 5.0 |

Section C - Scale Distribution



Section C - Course Learning Outcomes


| Question | Course | Faculty (Arts & Sciences) | University | Category (OAHM) |
|---|--------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| C.1. This course increased my interest in the subject | 5.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| C.2. In this course I have learned something that I consider valuable | 5.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| C.3. I would recommend this course to other students | 5.0 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Overall | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |

Section D (Humanities) - Score Report

| | Resp | (SA) | (A) | (N) | (D) | (SD) | Mean |
|--|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| D.1. The instructor kept the balance between discussion and lecture well suited to this type of course | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| D.2. Class discussion contributed positively to learning in this course | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| D.3. The grading system was clearly explained to students | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| D.4. The examination content is representative of course content and objectives | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| D.5. Instructor was concerned about students' progress in the course | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| D.6. Instructor related various topics of the course to each other | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |
| D.7. The course helped to improve my critical thinking skills | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 |

| Competency | Course |
|---|--------|
| Section D (Humanities) - Additional items | 5.0 |


Section D (Humanities) - Scale Distribution



Section D (Humanities)

| Question | Course | Category (OAHM) |
|--|------------|-----------------|
| | Mean | Mean |
| D.1. The instructor kept the balance between discussion and lecture well suited to this type of course | 5.0 | 4.2 |
| D.2. Class discussion contributed positively to learning in this course | 5.0 | 4.2 |
| D.3. The grading system was clearly explained to students | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| D.4. The examination content is representative of course content and objectives | 5.0 | 4.2 |
| D.5. Instructor was concerned about students' progress in the course | 5.0 | 4.2 |
| D.6. Instructor related various topics of the course to each other | 5.0 | 4.3 |
| D.7. The course helped to improve my critical thinking skills | 5.0 | 4.1 |
| Overall | 5.0 | 4.2 |

Summary results



ICE Average

| Competency | Course |
|--------------------------------------|------------|
| Section A - Evaluate your Instructor | 4.9 |
| Section B - Evaluate your Course | 5.0 |
| Section C - Course Learning Outcomes | 5.0 |
| Section D - Additional Items | 5.0 |
| Total Score | 5.0 |


Ranking

| Strengths | |
|-----------|--|
| 1 | A.1. The instructor was prepared for the class 5.0 |
| 2 | A.3. The instructor was accessible for feedback 5.0 |
| 3 | A.4. The instructor communicated his/her subject well 5.0 |
| 4 | A.5. The instructor stimulated and maintained my interest in the course 5.0 |
| 5 | A.7. The instructor promoted and encouraged analytical / critical thinking 5.0 |

| Areas for development | |
|-----------------------|---|
| 1 | A.2. The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject 4.7 |
| 2 | A.6. The instructor evaluated work using clear grading criteria 4.7 |
| 3 | A.1. The instructor was prepared for the class 5.0 |
| 4 | A.3. The instructor was accessible for feedback 5.0 |
| 5 | A.4. The instructor communicated his/her subject well 5.0 |

Comments:

Identify Instructor's strengths.



Identify Instructor's strengths.

| Comment |
|------------------|
| great teacher :) |