



Individual Instructor Course Evaluation (ICE) Fall 2015-16 Report for PHIL310N - Christopher Low Johns

Instructor Course Evaluation (ICE) Fall 2015-16

Project Audience 3

Responses Received 3

Response Ratio 100%

Subject Details

Name	PHIL310N
COURSE_SUBJECT	Philosophy
COURSE_SECTION	1
CRN	11738
FACULTY	Arts & Sciences
First Name	Christopher Low
Last Name	Johns

Creation Date Sat, Jan 09, 2016


Demographics

Question	Required from major	Elective from major	Elective outside major	Required outside major	University required
1. The course is	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Question	>=90	85-89	80-84	70-79	<70
2. Expected grade in course	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%

Question	<=3	4-6	7-10	>10
3. No. of hours worked for the course per week	0%	0%	67%	33%

Break down by Class



This course is:




Section A - Score Report

	Resp	(SA)	(A)	(N)	(D)	(SD)	Mean
A.1. The instructor was prepared for the class	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
A.2. The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject	3	2	0	1	0	0	4.3
A.3. The instructor was accessible for feedback	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
A.4. The instructor communicated his/her subject well	3	2	0	1	0	0	4.3
A.5. The instructor stimulated and maintained my interest in the course	3	2	0	1	0	0	4.3
A.6. The instructor evaluated work using clear grading criteria	3	3	0	0	0	0	5.0
A.7. The instructor promoted and encouraged analytical / critical thinking	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
A.8. Overall rating of the instructor's teaching effectiveness	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7

Competency	Course
Section A - Evaluate your Instructor	4.6

Section A - Scale Distribution



Section A - Evaluate your Instructor


Question	Course	Faculty (Arts & Sciences)	University	Category (OAHM)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
A.1. The instructor was prepared for the class	4.7	4.4	4.3	4.4
A.2. The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.5
A.3. The instructor was accessible for feedback	4.7	4.2	4.2	4.3
A.4. The instructor communicated his/her subject well	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3
A.5. The instructor stimulated and maintained my interest in the course	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.2
A.6. The instructor evaluated work using clear grading criteria	5.0	4.1	4.1	4.1
A.7. The instructor promoted and encouraged analytical / critical thinking	4.7	4.1	4.1	4.3

A.8. Overall rating of the instructor's teaching effectiveness	4.7	4.2	4.1	4.3
Overall	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.3

Section B - Score Report

	Resp	(SA)	(A)	(N)	(D)	(SD)	Mean
B.1. Course objectives were clear	3	3	0	0	0	0	5.0
B.2. Course content covered stated objectives	3	3	0	0	0	0	5.0
B.3. Course was appropriately organized and paced	3	3	0	0	0	0	5.0
B.4. Resources available were adequate (handouts, textbooks, readings, demonstrations,etc.)	3	3	0	0	0	0	5.0
B.5. Assessment was appropriate to course content & learning outcomes	3	3	0	0	0	0	5.0
B.6. Rate the overall quality of the course	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7

Competency	Course
Section B - Evaluate your course	4.9

Section B - Scale Distribution**Section B - Evaluate your Course**


Question	Course	Faculty (Arts & Sciences)	University	Category (OAHM)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
B.1. Course objectives were clear	5.0	4.2	4.2	4.3
B.2. Course content covered stated objectives	5.0	4.2	4.2	4.3
B.3. Course was appropriately organized and paced	5.0	4.1	4.1	4.2
B.4. Resources available were adequate (handouts, textbooks, readings, demonstrations,etc.)	5.0	4.1	4.1	4.3
B.5. Assessment was appropriate to course content & learning outcomes	5.0	4.1	4.1	4.3
B.6. Rate the overall quality of the course	4.7	4.1	4.1	4.2
Overall	4.9	4.1	4.1	4.3

Section C - Score Report

	Resp	(SA)	(A)	(N)	(D)	(SD)	Mean
C.1. This course increased my interest in the subject	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
C.2. In this course I have learned something that I consider valuable	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
C.3. I would recommend this course to other students	3	2	0	1	0	0	4.3

Competency	Course
Section C - Course learning outcomes	4.6

Section C - Scale Distribution



Section C - Course Learning Outcomes


Question	Course	Faculty (Arts & Sciences)	University	Category (OAHM)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
C.1. This course increased my interest in the subject	4.7	3.9	3.9	4.0
C.2. In this course I have learned something that I consider valuable	4.7	4.0	4.1	4.1
C.3. I would recommend this course to other students	4.3	3.9	3.9	4.1
Overall	4.6	3.9	4.0	4.1

Section D (Humanities) - Score Report

	Resp	(SA)	(A)	(N)	(D)	(SD)	Mean
D.1. The instructor kept the balance between discussion and lecture well suited to this type of course	3	3	0	0	0	0	5.0
D.2. Class discussion contributed positively to learning in this course	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
D.3. The grading system was clearly explained to students	3	3	0	0	0	0	5.0
D.4. The examination content is representative of course content and objectives	3	3	0	0	0	0	5.0
D.5. Instructor was concerned about students' progress in the course	3	3	0	0	0	0	5.0
D.6. Instructor related various topics of the course to each other	3	2	0	1	0	0	4.3
D.7. The course helped to improve my critical thinking skills	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7

Competency	Course
Section D (Humanities) - Additional items	4.8


Section D (Humanities) - Scale Distribution



Section D (Humanities)

Question	Course	Category (OAHM)
	Mean	Mean
D.1. The instructor kept the balance between discussion and lecture well suited to this type of course	5.0	4.3
D.2. Class discussion contributed positively to learning in this course	4.7	4.3
D.3. The grading system was clearly explained to students	5.0	4.1
D.4. The examination content is representative of course content and objectives	5.0	4.2
D.5. Instructor was concerned about students' progress in the course	5.0	4.2
D.6. Instructor related various topics of the course to each other	4.3	4.3
D.7. The course helped to improve my critical thinking skills	4.7	4.1
Overall	4.8	4.2

Summary results



ICE Average

Competency	Course
Section A - Evaluate your Instructor	4.6
Section B - Evaluate your Course	4.9
Section C - Course Learning Outcomes	4.6
Section D - Additional Items	4.8
Total Score	4.7

Ranking

Strengths		
1	A.6. The instructor evaluated work using clear grading criteria	5.0
2	B.1. Course objectives were clear	5.0
3	B.2. Course content covered stated objectives	5.0
4	B.3. Course was appropriately organized and paced	5.0
5	B.4. Resources available were adequate (handouts, textbooks, readings, demonstrations, etc.)	5.0

Areas for development		
1	A.2. The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject	4.3
2	A.4. The instructor communicated his/her subject well	4.3
3	A.5. The instructor stimulated and maintained my interest in the course	4.3
4	C.3. I would recommend this course to other students	4.3
5	D.6. Instructor related various topics of the course to each other	4.3

Comments:

Identify course's strengths and weaknesses.



Identify Instructor's strengths.

Comment
He allowed students to ask a lot, and never left a question unanswered.
Professor Chris is extremely clear and explains the dense material in an interesting way. I cannot begin to explain how much I improved and learned from from him. I enjoyed the class very much and I genuinely hope he teaches a graduate class every semester.

Identify Instructor's weaknesses.

Comment
none
None

Identify course's strengths and weaknesses.

Comment

each philosopher included in the syllabus was covered extensively.

Please provide specific suggestions for improving the course.

Comment

PHIL 310N - Christopher Low

Personally, I loved the course and the material covered. So, keeping it as is is perfect.

Johns