



Individual Instructor Course Evaluation (ICE) Spring 2016-17 Report for PHIL260L 22213 1 (Christopher Johns)

Instructor Course Evaluation (ICE) Spring 2016-17

Project Audience 4
Responses Received 3
Response Ratio 75%

Subject Details

Name	PHIL260L
COURSE_SUBJECT	Philosophy
COURSE_SECTION	1
CRN	22213
FACULTY	Arts & Sciences
First Name	Christopher
Last Name	Johns

Creation Date Wed, May 31, 2017




Demographics

Question	Required from major	Elective from major	Elective outside major	Required outside major	University required
1. The course is	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%


Question	>=90	85-89	80-84	70-79	<70
2. Expected grade in course	0%	67%	33%	0%	0%

Question	<=3	4-6	7-10	>10
3. No. of hours worked for the course per week	0%	33%	33%	33%

Break down by Class



This course is:




Section A - Score Report

	Resp	(SA)	(A)	(N)	(D)	(SD)	Mean
A.1. The instructor was prepared for the class	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
A.2. The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
A.3. The instructor was accessible for feedback	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
A.4. The instructor communicated his/her subject well	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
A.5. The instructor stimulated and maintained my interest in the course	3	1	1	1	0	0	4.0
A.6. The instructor evaluated work using clear grading criteria	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
A.7. The instructor promoted and encouraged analytical / critical thinking	3	3	0	0	0	0	5.0
A.8. Overall rating of the instructor's teaching effectiveness	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7

Competency	Course
Section A - Evaluate your Instructor	4.6

Section A - Scale Distribution



Section A - Evaluate your Instructor

Question	Course	Faculty (Arts & Sciences)	University	Category (OAHM)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
A.1. The instructor was prepared for the class	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.4
A.2. The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.5
A.3. The instructor was accessible for feedback	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.3
A.4. The instructor communicated his/her subject well	4.7	4.3	4.2	4.3
A.5. The instructor stimulated and maintained my interest in the course	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1
A.6. The instructor evaluated work using clear grading criteria	4.7	4.2	4.2	4.1
A.7. The instructor promoted and encouraged analytical / critical thinking	5.0	4.2	4.2	4.3

Course Evaluation (ICE) Spring 2016-17


A.8. Overall rating of the instructor's teaching effectiveness	4.7	4.2	4.2	4.3
Overall	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3

Section B - Score Report

	Resp	(SA)	(A)	(N)	(D)	(SD)	Mean
B.1. Course objectives were clear	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
B.2. Course content covered stated objectives	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
B.3. Course was appropriately organized and paced	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
B.4. Resources available were adequate (handouts, textbooks, readings, demonstrations,etc.)	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
B.5. Assessment was appropriate to course content & learning outcomes	3	2	0	1	0	0	4.3
B.6. Rate the overall quality of the course	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7

Competency	Course
Section B - Evaluate your course	4.6

Section B - Scale Distribution



Section B - Evaluate your Course


Question	Course	Faculty (Arts & Sciences)	University	Category (OAHM)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
B.1. Course objectives were clear	4.7	4.2	4.2	4.2
B.2. Course content covered stated objectives	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.3
B.3. Course was appropriately organized and paced	4.7	4.2	4.2	4.2
B.4. Resources available were adequate (handouts, textbooks, readings, demonstrations,etc.)	4.7	4.2	4.2	4.3
B.5. Assessment was appropriate to course content & learning outcomes	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2
B.6. Rate the overall quality of the course	4.7	4.2	4.2	4.2
Overall	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.2

Section C - Score Report

	Resp	(SA)	(A)	(N)	(D)	(SD)	Mean
C.1. This course increased my interest in the subject	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
C.2. In this course I have learned something that I consider valuable	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
C.3. I would recommend this course to other students	3	2	0	1	0	0	4.3

Competency	Course
Section C - Course learning outcomes	4.6

Section C - Scale Distribution



Section C - Course Learning Outcomes


Question	Course	Faculty (Arts & Sciences)	University	Category (OAHM)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
C.1. This course increased my interest in the subject	4.7	4.0	4.0	4.0
C.2. In this course I have learned something that I consider valuable	4.7	4.1	4.1	4.1
C.3. I would recommend this course to other students	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.1
Overall	4.6	4.0	4.1	4.1

Section D (Humanities) - Score Report

	Resp	(SA)	(A)	(N)	(D)	(SD)	Mean
D.1. The instructor kept the balance between discussion and lecture well suited to this type of course	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
D.2. Class discussion contributed positively to learning in this course	3	2	0	1	0	0	4.3
D.3. The grading system was clearly explained to students	3	3	0	0	0	0	5.0
D.4. The examination content is representative of course content and objectives	3	3	0	0	0	0	5.0
D.5. Instructor was concerned about students' progress in the course	3	2	0	1	0	0	4.3
D.6. Instructor related various topics of the course to each other	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7
D.7. The course helped to improve my critical thinking skills	3	2	1	0	0	0	4.7

Competency	Course
Section D (Humanities) - Additional items	4.7


Section D (Humanities) - Scale Distribution



Section D (Humanities)

Question	Course	Category (OAHM)
	Mean	Mean
D.1. The instructor kept the balance between discussion and lecture well suited to this type of course	4.7	4.3
D.2. Class discussion contributed positively to learning in this course	4.3	4.2
D.3. The grading system was clearly explained to students	5.0	4.1
D.4. The examination content is representative of course content and objectives	5.0	4.2
D.5. Instructor was concerned about students' progress in the course	4.3	4.2
D.6. Instructor related various topics of the course to each other	4.7	4.3
D.7. The course helped to improve my critical thinking skills	4.7	4.2
Overall	4.7	4.2

Summary results



ICE Average

Competency	Course
Section A - Evaluate your Instructor	4.6
Section B - Evaluate your Course	4.6
Section C - Course Learning Outcomes	4.6
Section D - Additional Items	4.7
Total Score	4.6

Ranking

Strengths		
1	A.7. The instructor promoted and encouraged analytical / critical thinking	5.0
2	D.3. The grading system was clearly explained to students	5.0
3	D.4. The examination content is representative of course content and objectives	5.0
4	A.1. The instructor was prepared for the class	4.7
5	A.2. The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject	4.7

Areas for development		
1	A.5. The instructor stimulated and maintained my interest in the course	4.0
2	B.5. Assessment was appropriate to course content & learning outcomes	4.3
3	C.3. I would recommend this course to other students	4.3
4	D.2. Class discussion contributed positively to learning in this course	4.3
5	D.5. Instructor was concerned about students' progress in the course	4.3

Comments:

Identify course's strengths and weaknesses.



Identify course's strengths and weaknesses.

Comment
AWESOME MATERIAL!! LEIBNIZ IS SUPER INTERESTING!!